	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 08-99000-brl
4	Adm. Case No. 08-01789-brl
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES,
15	LLC, ET AL.,
16	Defendants.
17	x
18	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
19	One Bowling Green
20	New York, New York
21	
22	December 19, 2012
23	10:08 AM
24	
25	

Page 3 1 Hearing re: Adversary Proceeding: 08-01798-brl Securities 2 Investor Protection Corporation v. Bernard L. Madoff 3 Investment Securities, LLC. et al. 4 (cc-5097) Tenth Application of Trustee and Baker & Hostetler 5 LLP for Allowance of Interim Compensation for Services 6 Rendered and Reimbursement of Actual and Necessary Expenses 7 Incurred from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 for Baker & Hostetler, L.L.P., Trustee's Attorney, period: 8 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$61671551.85, expenses: 9 10 \$1,038,317.74. 11 12 Hearing re: (cc-5096) Ninth Application of Windels Marx 13 Lane & Mittendorf, LLP for Allowance of Interim Compensation 14 for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Actual and 15 Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 through 16 June 30, 2012 for Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP, 17 Special Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/21, fee: \$2,986,228.90, expenses: \$20,442.30. 18 19 20 Hearing re: (5098) Application of Eugene F. Collins as 21 Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of 22 23 Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 24 through June 30, 2012 for Eugene F. Collins, Special 25 Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$1,741.50,

Page 4 1 expenses: \$213.05. 2 Hearing re: (5099) Application of Schiltz & Schiltz as 3 Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim 4 Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of 5 6 Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 7 through June 30, 2012 for Schiltz & Schiltz, Special 8 Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$45,509.76, 9 expenses: \$2,958.15. 10 11 Hearing re: (5100) Application of Higgs & Johnson (formerly 12 Higgs Johnson Truman Bodden & Co.) as Special Counsel to the 13 Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation for Services 14 Rendered and Reimbursement of Actual and Necessary Expenses 15 Incurred from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 for 16 Higgins Johnson Truman Bodden & Co., Special Counsel, 17 period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$109,995.75, expenses: 18 \$13,189.15. 19 20 Hearing re: (5101) Application of Soroker - Agmon as 21 Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim 22 Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of 23 Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 24 through June 30, 2012 for Soroker - Agmon, Special Counsel, 25 period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$363,326.31, expenses:

Page 5 1 \$3,445.07. 2 Hearing re: (5102) Application of Graf & Pitkowitz 3 Rechtsanwalte GmbH as Special Counsel to the Trustee for 4 5 Allowance of Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and 6 Reimbursement of Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from 7 February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 for Graf & Pitkowitz Rechtsanwalte GmbH, Special Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 8 9 6/30/2012, fee: \$656,636.18, expenses: \$30,701.66. 10 Hearing re: (5103) Application of SCA Creque as Special 11 12 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 13 for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Actual and 14 Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 through 15 June 30, 2012 for SCA Creque, Special Counsel, period: 16 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$114,284.97, expenses: 17 \$1,993.00. 18 Hearing re: (5104) Application of Young Conaway Stargatt & 19 20 Taylor LLP as Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance 21 of Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and 22 Reimbursement of Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 for Young Conaway 23 24 Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, Special Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 25 6/30/2012, fee: \$119,857.50, expenses: \$11,497.85.

Page 6 1 2 Hearing re: (5105) Application of Williams, Barristers & Attorneys as Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of 3 Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement 4 5 of Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 6 2012 through June 30, 2012 for Williams, Barristers & 7 Attorneys, Special Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, 8 fee: \$328,668.12, expenses: \$0. 9 10 Hearing re: (5106) Application of Taylor Wessing as Special 11 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Actual and 12 13 Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 through 14 June 30, 2012 for Taylor Wessing, Special Counsel, period: 15 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$2,625,051.76, expenses: 16 \$1,211,136.84. 17 18 Hearing re: (5107) Application of UGGC & Associes as 19 Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim 20 Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of 21 Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 22 through June 30, 2012 for UGGC & Associes, Special Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$84,834.98, expenses: 23 \$0. 24 25

Page 7 1 Hearing re: (5108) Application of Werder Vigano as Special 2 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Actual and 3 4 Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 through 5 June 30, 2012 for Werder Vigano, Special Counsel, period: 6 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$30,585.15, expenses: \$0. 7 Hearing re: (5109) Application of Greenfield Stein & 8 9 Senior, LLP as Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance 10 of Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and 11 Reimbursement of Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from 12 February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 for Greenfield Stein & Senior, LLP, Special Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 13 6/30/2012, fee: \$5,799.60, expenses: \$53.88. 14 15 16 Hearing re: (5110) Application of Browne Jacobson, LLP as 17 Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of 18 19 Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 20 through June 30, 2012 for Browne Jacobson, Special Counsel, 21 period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$73,480.81, expenses: 22 \$12.65. 23 24 Hearing re: (5111) Application of Osborne & Osborne, P.A. 25 as Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim

Page 8 1 Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of 2 Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 for Osborne & Osborne, P.A., Special 3 4 Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 6/30/2012, fee: \$1,375.20, 5 expenses: \$0. 6 7 Hearing re: (5113) Application of Attias & Levy as Special 8 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Actual and 9 10 Necessary Expenses Incurred from February 1, 2012 through 11 April 30, 2012 for Attias & Levy, Special Counsel, period: 2/1/2012 to 4/30/2012, fee: \$12,832.49, expenses: 12 \$1,279.73.(related document(s)5112). 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Dawn South

Page 9 APPEARANCES: 1 2 BAKER HOSTETLER 3 Attorney for the Trustee 4 45 Rockefeller Plaza 5 New York, NY 10111 6 7 BY: DAVID J. SHEEHAN, ESQ. 8 9 SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION 10 Attorney for SIPC 11 805 15th St., N.W. 12 Suite 800 13 Washington, D.C. 20005-2215 14 15 BY: KEVIN H. BELL, ESQ. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Be seated, please.

MR. SHEEHAN: David Sheehan, Baker Hostetler, on behalf of the trustee.

There are a number of matters on this morning I know on your calendar with regard to the Madoff matter. The only uncontested one is the fee applications that we are making on an interim basis on behalf of the trustee as counsel -- special counsel that we've retained in foreign jurisdictions as well as conflict counsel, one of who is here with us today.

In light of the heavy calendar, in the past what I've done, Your Honor, is I've gutted you a fairly deep summary with regard to each of the activities of the various foreign counsel as well as that which is engaged in by the trustee. However, in light of your calendar I can make that much more brief here this morning in light of the fact that there are no objections and the statutory mandate is with the approval of SIPC the Court must, as Your Honor knows, enter the order that is submitted and approved by SIPC.

So I mean to foreshorten this morning, it's a very important moment and certainly this case for us, but at the same time I realize Your Honor has a lot of other things before you to which there are objections and to which perhaps you'd rather devote your time.

Page 11 1 THE COURT: I will leave it to you. This is your 2 opportunity to explain what you're doing. A lot of the 3 players who are on the other side of many of the equations, the litigating equations --4 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. 6 THE COURT: -- are here this morning. They may or 7 may not appreciate what you have to say, but you can --8 (Laughter) 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Well, I respect their right to 10 disagree. Well then very -- thank you very much, Your 11 Honor. 12 What I will do is, as I've done in the past, I will start with what is happening in each of the foreign 13 14 jurisdictions and I'll highlight the activities of each of 15 the foreign counsel that we have retained and what they've 16 been doing in the reporting period for which we are seeking 17 compensation here this morning. THE COURT: This is information that's of interest 18 of many of your adversaries in the later proceedings this 19 20 morning. 21 MR. SHEEHAN: They'll probably use it against me. 22 (Laughter) 23 THE COURT: Probably. 24 MR. SHEEHAN: I know these guys. But any way. 25 But seriously, Your Honor, the first one is Eugene

F. Collins. Collins is our counsel and has been our counsel since the very beginning. As Your Honor knows there is the Thema fund that's located there, there's multiple litigations involving the Thema fund and Citrix (ph) and a number of other interested parties that we pursue there.

We are not active in litigation there, we monitor litigation which is why Mr. Collins' hours are 4.5, so there's not a lot of time spent by him, but we maintain an active presence there so that we can keep on eye on what activity is taking place in the Irish ports.

The next one is Schiltz & Schiltz. Schiltz & Schiltz is in Luxembourg, they are our counsel there that have worked with us in a number of capacities.

First of all we do work closely with the

Luxembourg prosecutor there, we have a participation

agreement as we have in other jurisdictions with that

prosecutor and he assists us and we assist him with regard

to certain discovery issues.

In addition there is a third-party action against the trustee, which actually has an application before Your Honor seeking to enjoin that action here, but at the same time it is proceeding in Luxembourg and Schiltz & Schiltz respects the trustee in connection with that action.

Next is Higgs & Johnson. They're in the Cayman Islands. The Cayman Islands, as Your Honor knows, is the

host government in many of the feeder funds that are before
Your Honor as defendants in these cases.

A number of things have happened in the Caymans.

Liquidators were appointed in a number of the funds and we of course have active litigation there as a protective action in addition to the actions that we filed here in the Bankruptcy Court. Those actions proceed, we do participate, we're there on a fairly regular basis.

The assistance of local counsel was essential in dealing with those courts, and Higgs & Johnson has been with us, as I say, from the beginning, and they have approximately 210 hours for the work that they've done through this reporting period.

Next one is Israel, and that's Soroker & Agmon.

That is a case that Your Honor has some familiarity with

because before you have been a number of motions to dismiss

on personal jurisdiction grounds and the companion matter

filled here in the Bankruptcy Court. This is the Magnify

case.

Magnify was a customer of BOMIS, but we had traces of approximately \$135 million of fictitious profits that left through Magnify, made its way into Israel through initially a fellow named Albert Angoin (ph), and Albert Angoin turned it over to Mr. Green, Mr. Green runs the Ushi Horowitz (ph) Foundation. All that money we have traced

through all of those different organizations and individuals, and at this time we have instituted an action in Israel, handled by Soroker & Agmon, seeking to forestall a dissolution of Ushi Horowitz so that we will preserve all the books and records and continue to pursue and trace the money as it proceeds through Israel and to a number of various other corporations.

That's been very active in the last six months, it will continue to be so -- or I should say the reporting period and in the last six months -- and I think it will continue to be so. In fact we are -- we have a hearing scheduled in February with regard to the -- in which the trustee will be testifying -- not trustee himself, but his representative will be there -- and we will be actively participating in that.

Next is --

THE COURT: And that's part and parcel of litigation discovery going on in both France and England; is that correct?

MR. SHEEHAN: That is true, Your Honor. The tentacles of this are we recently did take a deposition in Switzerland with regard to Dr. Bruner (ph) who is the initial lawyer who put together the corporate paperwork that structured both Ushi Horowitz and worked with Mr. Yarbreen (ph) in connection with getting that done. So we took his

deposition in connection with the personal jurisdiction motions that are here that have been made by several individuals, including Mr. Bruner, suggesting that he was not here.

We've also taken discovery in England in connection with there's involvement we believe with Sonya Cohen (ph) in connection with her activities in Israel and we're connecting her assets into Magnify as well. So that's all happening at the same time.

Then we have Graf & Pitkowitz which is our firm Austria. Austria is very similar to what is occurring in Luxembourg, we do participate there.

As Your Honor knows that's the home of Bank Medici and Bank Austria, two of the principal defendants in the Sonya Cohen lawsuit that has been brought here. We have also brought a lawsuit against Sonya Cohen in the United Kingdom, I'll get to that in a minute.

In Austria what we are doing is working very closely with the prosecutor there who is conducting an investigation of both of those banks as well as Sonya Cohen. We are again a named participant, we have shared a good deal of discovery with them and they have shared discovery with us. It has become a very fruitful source of our work in the Sonya Cohen cases that are principally going forward in the United Kingdom at this time.

The other activity -- I should say the next firm is -- is the SCA Creque firm, that is in BVI.

BVI as Your Honor also knows is the home of many of the other feeder funds that are there. King Gate (ph) principal among them. We've been very active in the King Gate litigation in BVI and in Bermuda as well as here in the United States. That is proceeding fairly actively.

There is an official receiver that was just appointed and now being challenged by the liquidators in Bermuda with regard to approximately \$100 million sitting in the Bank of Bermuda which we are obviously participating in as well as in BVI.

As I say, we're there often with them in connection with a number of different applications that are made by the liquidators there.

The next is Bermuda and that's the Williams firm.

Again, BVI is prominent among the participants there. I

just related to you the activities that are occurring with

regard to the bank account, the liquidators, and the

official receiver appointed there.

As a matter of fact the official receiver came about as a result of activities engaged in by the trustee.

There initially -- the receiver appointed there was an accounting firm that had been initially retained by Suradi & Gracco (ph) who are the principal defendants in that

litigation. We objected to that. There was a hearing held on that. The counts were dismissed and the official receiver was appointed by the courts of Bermuda at the trustee's request.

And Williams Barristers assisted us in all of those activities.

The largest application, over 6,000 hours, is by the firm of Taylor Wessing. Taylor Wessing is our counsel in London.

In London what we have are several matters that are pending. The most prominent of which right now and is scheduled for trial in July -- or June I should say of next law is the case against Mr. Bernard Madoff's directors of his London operation, MSIL.

We also have sued there the other directors, which would include Peter Madoff, soon to be sentenced and therefore not a participant any longer in the proceeding, Andrew Madoff who is to participate in defending the litigation, as well as Sonya Cohen is also part of that litigation for the \$65 million that she was paid for allegedly providing what we believe to be phony, as it were, research to them and was actually just a payoff by Mr. Madoff, which we've alleged in those proceedings with regard to people that she introduced to and through her feeder funds that she created in Europe. So that's a very

active piece of litigation.

What's actually happening right now is as Your

Honor knows the courts in London operate on the basis of

prepared statements. We have written statements being

prepared by all of our witnesses. Those statements are then

submitted. We then had the opportunity not to take

discovery but at the time of the hearing to cross-examine

those witnesses based upon those statements, et cetera.

Since it is intimately related to what we're doing in the Madoff family matter we've had a -- which is still continuing here in the United States -- we are actively working with the people in London, Taylor Wessing, to help them prepare those witness statements to assist them with regard to insolvency as an issue there. There are issues of ratification that have been raised as a defense, so insolvency would defeat that, so we're working with them on those issues as well. So there's a lot of activity that's going on there.

At the same time, as Your Honor knows, the Rubin decision just recently was decided by the Court of Appeals in London. While that appeal was pending we applied -- the trustee I should say -- applied to become an amicus in that proceeding. It was permitted. We worked with Taylor Wessing, we did prepare a submission to the court in support of the earlier decision. Unfortunately it didn't work out

quite that way. We are continuing to participate in Rubin and as progeny we think it will come up in Bermuda in connection with King Gate. It certainly will surface in other jurisdictions. We believe it has a very narrow holding. We do not think it actually eliminates Cambridge Gas. I think Cambridge Gas is still good law and we're going to continue to argue that.

Obviously we have to take that into account with regard to how we proceed here in the United States with our defaults, assuming we continue to do that, and how we then proceed with them in the various jurisdictions and the commonwealth, and that's another area where Taylor Wessing has been of great assistance was to work with us.

And then last but not least is King Gate. King Gate, as I've mentioned several times, is prominent in many jurisdictions. BVI, Bermuda, and in London, as well as here in the United States where we have an active litigation ongoing which is being defended by Quinn Emanuel on behalf of the liquidators.

That litigation in the UK has been somewhat dormant because of the activities going on in the -- in Bermuda and in BVI, but we have had several things occur there. We requested the assistance in terms of witnesses and other things in support of activities and the island and Taylor Wessing has been of great assistance to us there.

Then continuing we have UGGC & Associes, that's a French law firm in France. What we have ongoing is an active investigation. Many of the people who invested through Lasofa (ph) and the Luxembourg oriented feeder funds were in fact French citizens.

The French have been up until recently not as cooperative as we would have liked, but we've actually had through the successful efforts of our local counsel, as well as the trustee, have been able to again arrange for a participation in the French criminal proceedings which originally were closed and have been reopened and have been reopened as a result of I think, indirectly at least, some of the materials that we presented to them with regard to the activities of the people like Sonya Cohen and others and her colleagues that were intimately involved in raising the funds out of France that were then went to Lasofa, Bank Metici, and into the Sonya Cohen enterprise. So they've been very, very helpful to us.

Of late we see the prospect for additional documents and things coming there to us not only in that case but in others.

Attias & Levy, today is their last day here before Your Honor. Attias & Levy is a firm in Gibraltar. They've been very, very helpful to us, but the principal partner there left and switched law firms, and, quite frankly, given

the quality of his work he was a former attorney general in Gibraltar, someone that we have had great success with. We followed him to his new law firm.

So Attias & Levy today is, as I say, the last time it's going to appear before Your Honor.

Through the reporting period they've been of great assistance to us in a couple of endeavors. As Your Honor knows very, very early on we discovered about \$150 million had left and gone interest a number of enterprises, Zeus among them, located in Gibraltar. We went after them and were lucky enough to land at least on \$75 million that was still there, locked that money up and we had a very interesting battle going on there.

While that was happening Rubin came down. And one of the side benefits of Rubin, even before it got decided by the Court of Appeals was, is that there was a real concern because we had a judgment here entered in this court, a default judgment, that they were concerned with them being used against them in Gibraltar. So what they did is they came in here -- and Your Honor will recall this -- asked to vacate that judgment so they could actually litigate the case here. They have appeared here and the \$75 million has actually been transferred to this court and that will be decided hopefully in 2013.

So there was a side benefit to Rubin in its brief

existence where we did get a result that was beneficial to the proceeding. That's why we still continue to follow Rubin and why we think it's very important to this case overall.

The thinking of, you know, internationalism I think is the correct way that we should be looking at the bankruptcy laws and how they should be enforced, and it's certainly that the trustee is going to be supportive of.

So at the end of the day what we have in Gibraltar is a continuing action there against other defendants who have refused obviously to submit to the jurisdiction of this court and we are continuing to litigate with them there.

And as I say, we have followed our counsel to a new firm and you'll be hearing about them in future fee applications.

Then we have a firm in Switzerland, this is a very minor retention, it's Werder Vigano. Again, this is a situation where as Your Honor knows recently we had to take a deposition there. There is a very, very strong balking statue in Switzerland with regard to your ability to take depositions, take documents, even take documents out of the country. And in fact they criminalize that kind of activity. Therefore we needed the guidance of someone who is very familiar with the Swiss laws concerning those balking statutes. This firm stepped in and assisted us in that regard.

At the same time we have also (indiscernible - 00:16:32) as it were for the Swiss prosecutors who are also looking into this. So far we've been rebuffed, we haven't had the success there that we've had with -- in Luxembourg and in France and in Austria, but we're hopeful that over time the initial reluctance of the Swiss authorities to talk to us will abate and they will in fact talk to us and we will have some success there as well.

Then last, but not least, in terms of foreign counsel we have Browne Jacobson. Browne Jacobson is another United Kingdom law firm. As you might suspect, given the size and scope of the Madoff international fraud, we have found that Taylor Wessing has conflicts along with obviously Baker Hostetler in the United States has had conflicts.

So we've retained Browne Jacobson in a couple of matters where they've been of assistance to us. They also assisted us quite frankly in the Rubin matter as well. And they have helped us with regard to the King Gate matter as well because there have actually been a few conflicts down the road with regard to some of the (indiscernible - 00:17:32) entities that were involved in the King Gate enterprise.

So those are all of the foreign counsel that we have before Your Honor this morning.

In addition to the foreign counsel there are of

course other counsel that we've retained.

First and foremost is Windels Marx, and we have with us today Mr. Nisselson who's here, he's in the courtroom, and Howard is here today, okay, and Howard Simon is here, two partners who are principally involved in connection with that.

Your Honor is very familiar with the history with regard to the appointment of the Chapter 7 trustee and where we went with that in terms of retaining him and continuing to work with him in a number of matters.

We've had conflicts throughout this case as you would imagine in a case of this size. Windels Marx has done a superb job of doing that. I know Your Honor has seen them here before you on a number of matters that recently they have settled, like Ivy and Beacon and Andover where we have great success in terms of bringing significant monies to the estate. That kind of activity is a hallmark of what they do every day. They've been a tremendous asset to us.

Unfortunately, given again the size of the case they too have conflicts. So we have a second conflicts counsel, Young Conaway, and they have also come in. And I'm not sure -- lower level, but they've been very, very helpful to the trustee and to Windels Marx in terms of working on these cases together.

Then in --

Page 25 THE COURT: And Young Conaway has no conflicts? 1 2 (Laughter) 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not yet. MR. SHEEHAN: Well --4 5 THE COURT: Not as yet, is that --6 MR. SHEEHAN: -- none that they've brought to my 7 attention of late, but I haven't had that problem recently. Then the last two firms, it's sort of an inside 8 9 into the case, is that we have two probate firms. Given the 10 nature of this fraud and this longevity a number of the 11 complaints and a number of the defendants have passed on. We have retained counsel both in Florida and in New York 12 13 State. And in Florida it is Greenfield Stein & Senior -oh, I'm sorry -- in Florida it's Osborne & Osborne, and in 14 15 New York it's Greenfield Stein & Senior. 16 Both of those firms have been retained by us to assist the trustee in preserving claims that he may have 17 18 against these individuals who have passed on against the 19 estates. 20 They've also assisted us with regard to causes of 21 action we've instituted against individuals who have passed 22 on. So those are all of the counsel that have been 23 24 retained by the trustee and have been of tremendous support 25 to him throughout the reporting people for which we seek

compensation here this morning.

And as I said at the outset, none of those individuals have had an objection to their fees.

Last but not least is the trustee and his counsel,
Baker Hostetler. Your Honor sees us on a fairly regular
basis so you know what we're all about. The news media
carries daily reports of what we do, but let me just briefly
summarize for the reporting period what I believe are the
highlights of what transpired during the first six months of
last year.

One of them of course was the one that probably got the most publicity and will continue to have the most publicity throughout the case now was of course the Katz Wilpalm (ph) matter which was actively litigated for the first three months of last year, and also intensively engaged in settlement negotiations with Governor Cuomo who was appointed by Your Honor as the mediator. That case occupied a great deal of our firm's time in terms of getting it prepared to trial.

Through the offices of Governor Cuomo we were able to obtain a very deep, deep financial insight into the entire matter which led the trustee to the conclusion, which Your Honor is aware of, that this should be a settlement that we arrived at which was then presented to and at that point the matter had been withdrawn before Judge Rakoff. We

presented that to him. And that settlement was approved.

I think to the benefit of the estate and there already has been a significant -- millions and millions of dollars have been paid into the estate as a result of that settlement and we are very comfortable that going forward the wisdom of that settlement will become even more apparent to everyone.

Perhaps one of the most interesting things that occurred as a result of that settlement, as Your Honor knows, there is a tremendous clinch trading exercise going on in connection with the Madoff claims. I think it's the premier distressed debt available out there is a Madoff claim as a matter of fact.

And what was happening is when the Katz Wilpalm case was being litigated the market sort of receded somewhat resulting in some other litigation, which we also participated in, and that is the litigation involving King Gate and the liquidators and DBSI, Deutsche Bank, in connection with the financing of the sale of that claim.

Once we settled and the market changed all of a sudden the liquidators wanted to do a little bit of different talking about it because they thought the value of the claim went up and maybe they didn't get such a good deal either.

But those kinds of activities occur almost daily

in our case because of the nature of the claims and the success that this trustee has had. Mr. Picard has put \$9 billion into the hands of these customers and distributed over three and a half billion of it, all of which as the result of the activities that I've outlined here this morning and which are continuing ongoing every day.

We also have had the success, as Your Honor knows, of having your opinion ultimately approved not only by the Second Circuit but (indiscernible - 00:22:51) with regard to the net equity calculation and how we pay our customers.

And that resulted in -- that distribution that I eluded to a moment ago, which was a little bit outside the reporting period but worth noting here this morning -- and that is over two and a half billion dollars was sent out as a result of finally having net equity decided, and we did not have to keep a reserve with regard to final statements. That also occurred principally during the reporting period.

All throughout that reporting period there's active litigation going on here in the United States, the Merkin (ph) litigation as Your Honor knows where you've appointed Judge Nitkowski (hp) who's doing a spectacular job as the mediator in that case with regard to all the discovery activity that we have there. That was a major matter during that period.

We have other litigations that are occurring

throughout, as I've indicated, throughout the Caribbean and Europe where we are there on a fairly regular basis. As I speak there are two of our lawyers today in London helping to prepare for the insolvency analysis that will be presented next June in the courts in the United Kingdom. So those kind of activities are daily, they occur throughout the case, and actually quite frankly throughout the world as we speak. So that is in summary what we've been doing over the last -- first six months of last year. No one has objected to our application here this morning. I would respectfully request that Your Honor approve the applications of all the petitioners here today. I think Mr. Bell wants to say someone from SIPC. THE COURT: Mr. Bell? MR. BELL: Your Honor, SIPC has been entrusted by --THE COURT: But you role and very interesting and strong under the statute because it's one of the rare places in the statute where the word "shall" comes up and the word shall applicable to fee requests in SIPC is that if SPIC recommends the payment of the fees the Court shall approve them, which is one of the rare places where I don't have much discretion unless you have something negative to say.

MR. BELL: Well, Your Honor, I would like to --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this is Kevin Bell on behalf of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation.

Because of the entrustment of such responsibility to this corporation by Congress in Section 78-EEE(b)(5)(C) I want to make sure to the Court that SIPC's review of each of these applications and each and every page of the invoices and each and every entry of time has been done not only by myself but by the general counsel, because we take this responsibility where the Court has a shall do something very -- at a very high level.

I would call your attention to the SIPC recommendation that is at docket number 5152, particularly paragraph 5, where you will see some of the results of the discussion that SIPC has after its extremely thorough review with trustee's counsel regarding adjustments that happen after that very thorough and complete review.

We have dialogue with regard to each and every invoice and those invoices, when you size them up, equal more than eight feet tall for this application period for all of these applicants, and we look at that very carefully because of that responsibility.

So we have submitted recommendations at dockets

548 through 551 with recommendations in support of all these
applications. And we support all the interim applications
as amended and request the Court to approve the fees as

amended -- the applications as amended.

We also support the final application of Attias & Levy and the payment of the funds held back on previous applications by Attias & Levy.

And we are open to any questions the Court may have about SIPC's oversight and review of these fee applications if you have any. But we recommend that the Court would approve the fees as submitted.

THE COURT: To some extent I'm very familiar with the lot of the activities that are described, especially with respect to trustee's counsel and Windels Marx and some of the others. It's almost on a daily basis that matters involving the worldwide efforts that come before my desk, so I'm not at all surprised that the amount before me today, which I think is approaching some \$70 million, has been the subject to an oversight function by SIPC performing its assigned role.

I'm satisfied that SIPC has done its due diligence appropriately and I do find under the circumstances that I have no trouble in implementing the shall requirement by Congress in approving the request here today.

MR. BELL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Which I note are subject to substantial holdbacks.

MR. SHEEHAN: Your Honor, I have orders prepared.

Page 32 1 THE COURT: I'll entertain them. 2 MR. SHEEHAN: I actually have two orders, Your Honor. One -- just so Your Honor -- one is the omnibus 3 4 order, but I have a separate order for Attias & Levy because it is a final order for them. 5 6 THE COURT: Very well. 7 MR. SHEEHAN: All right, thank you. 8 (Pause) 9 THE COURT: I have approved the order. 10 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 MR. BELL: Thank you, Your Honor. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you very much, Your 12 13 Honor. 14 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:36 AM) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 34 CERTIFICATION I, Dawn South, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D-408 Veritext 200 Old Country Road Suite 580 Mineola, NY 11501 Date: December 21, 2012